Application No. Applicant(s) 08/900,254 PFEUFFER, PETER Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Sam Chuan C. Yao 1733 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sam Chuan C. Yao. (2) Mr. Thomas Hughes. (4)____ Date of Interview: 23 September 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: Meyer (US 5,232,595). Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. gi was not reached. hi⊠ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested for Counsel to submit a revised appeal brief by removing Meyer in the status of claims to make it consistent with the final rejection. This reference was removed in the final rejection to simplify the issue. Counsel agreed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required